

## 2 Relativistic Fields

### 2.1 Definition

A wave function spanning a representation of the proper Lorentz group is called a *relativistic field*. The only thing required is that

$$[I_r, I_s] = C_{rs}^t I_t \quad [1.70]$$

must hold

In other words,  $\psi_\alpha(x)$  is a relativistic field if it transforms according to

$$\psi'_\alpha(x') = [1 + \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\Omega} + i\underline{\lambda} \cdot \underline{\Lambda}]_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta(x) \quad (2.1)$$

For pure (3D) rotations,

$$\psi'(x') = \underbrace{[1 + \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\Omega}]}_{n \times n \text{ matrix}} \underbrace{\psi(x)}_{nD \text{ vector}} \quad (2.2)$$

The value of  $n$  is so far open.

On the other hand, the wave function for a particle with spin  $\underline{S}$  transforms under a rotation  $\underline{\omega}$  of the coordinate axes as

$$\psi'(x') = [1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{S}] \psi(x) \quad (2.3)$$

Hence the spin angular momentum

$$\underline{S} = -i\hbar \underline{\Omega} \quad (2.4)$$

for whatever  $\underline{\Omega}$  is chosen.

A wave equation transforming according to a given representation of the Lorentz group describes a particle with a given spin.

### 2.2 Scalar fields

For one-component wave functions, the  $\underline{\Omega}$  and  $\underline{\Lambda}$  are  $1 \times 1$  matrices. Eq. (1.70)  $\Rightarrow \Omega_1 \Omega_2 - \Omega_2 \Omega_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \Omega_3 = 0$ , cycl. Thus

$$\underline{\Omega} = \underline{\Lambda} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

(2.1)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\psi'(x') = \psi(x) \quad (2.6)$$

(2.4)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\underline{S} = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

Thus a scalar (one-component) field describes a spin-zero particle.

### 2.3 An $\underline{S} = 1$ field

Consider a four-component wave function  $A$ , transforming as

$$A'_\mu(x') = [1 + \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\Omega} + i\underline{\lambda} \cdot \underline{\Lambda}]_{\mu\nu} A_\nu(x) \quad (2.8)$$

with the  $4 \times 4$  representation in (1.63). Eq. (2.4)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{S} &= -\hbar^2 \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= 2\hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 2\hbar^2 \underline{\mathbf{1}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$S(S+1) = 2 \Rightarrow S = 1 \quad (2.10)$$

$S_z = -1, 0, 1$ . This is the *self-representation* of the proper Lorentz group.

### 2.4 Two-component spinor fields

Can we find six  $2 \times 2$  matrices, satisfying (1.67)? Try the Pauli matrices  $\underline{\sigma}$ , which satisfy

$$[\sigma_i, \sigma_k] = 2i\delta_{ikl}\sigma_l \quad (2.11)$$

An explicit representation is

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.12)$$

Choose

$$\underline{\Omega} = \frac{i}{2}\underline{\sigma} \quad (2.13)$$

$$\underline{\Lambda} = \pm \frac{i}{2}\underline{\sigma} \quad (2.14)$$

We can verify that (1.67) holds

$$\begin{cases} [\Omega_i, \Omega_k] = -\frac{1}{4}[\sigma_i, \sigma_k] = -\frac{i}{2}\delta_{ikl}\sigma_l = -\delta_{ikl}\Omega_l \\ [\Lambda_i, \Lambda_k] = -\frac{1}{4}[\sigma_i, \sigma_k] = -\frac{i}{2}\delta_{ikl}\sigma_l = -\delta_{ikl}\Omega_l \\ [\Lambda_i, \Omega_k] = \mp[\sigma_i, \sigma_k] = \mp\frac{i}{2}\delta_{ikl}\sigma_l = -\delta_{ikl}\Lambda_l, \quad \text{indeed.} \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

Eq. (2.4)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\underline{S} = -i\hbar\underline{\Omega} = \frac{\hbar}{2}\underline{\sigma} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\underline{S}^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^2 \right] = \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$S(S+1) = \frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow S = \frac{1}{2} \quad (2.17)$$

Consider then the inversion

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad [1.70]$$

For the matrices ER1.62–(1.64)

$$[P, \Omega] = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

$$\{P, \Lambda\} \equiv P\Lambda + \Lambda P = 0 \quad (2.19)$$

**Example 1:**

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P\Omega_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Omega_1 P &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow [P, \Omega_1] = 0$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P\Lambda_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Lambda_1 P &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \{P, \Lambda_1\} = 0 \quad \square$$

Now , for the two-component case, either  $\underline{\Omega} = \underline{\Lambda}$  or  $\underline{\Omega} = -\underline{\Lambda}$  (eq. (2.14)) and we cannot satisfy simultaneously both (2.18) and (2.19). **Therefore a two-component  $S = \frac{1}{2}$  field cannot be found.**

## 2.5 Four-component spinor fields

Consider now a four-component function transforming as

$$\psi'(x') = [1 + \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{\Omega} + i\underline{\lambda} \cdot \underline{\Lambda}] \psi(x) \quad (2.20)$$

with

$$\underline{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2}\underline{\sigma} & \\ & \frac{i}{2}\underline{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\underline{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{i}{2}\underline{\sigma} & \\ & -\frac{i}{2}\underline{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.22)$$

These  $\underline{\Omega}$  and  $\underline{\Lambda}$  satisfy (1.67).

The corresponding angular-momentum operator

$$\underline{S} = -i\hbar\underline{\Omega} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{\hbar}{2}\underline{\sigma}' \quad (2.23)$$

$$\underline{S}^2 = \frac{3}{4}\hbar^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow S = \frac{1}{2} \quad (2.24)$$

Introduce the four new matrices

$$\underline{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\underline{\sigma} \\ -i\underline{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.25)$$

$$\gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.26)$$

These matrices are *Hermitian*,

$$\gamma_\mu^\dagger = \gamma_\mu \quad (2.27)$$

and their anti-commutators satisfy

$$\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu} \quad (2.28)$$

**Example 2:**

$$\{\gamma_4, \gamma_4\} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{OK}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \text{OK}
\end{aligned}$$

The present  $\underline{\Lambda}$  and  $\underline{\Omega}$  in (2.21–2.22) can be expressed as

$$\underline{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_2\gamma_3, \gamma_3\gamma_1, \gamma_1\gamma_2) \quad (2.29)$$

$$\underline{\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1\gamma_4, \gamma_2\gamma_4, \gamma_3\gamma_4). \quad (2.30)$$

In this representation the space inversion is

$$P = \gamma_4. \quad (2.31)$$

This  $\underline{\Omega}$ , (2.29), commutes with  $P$ ,

$$[P, \underline{\Omega}] = 0. \quad (2.32)$$

**Example 3:**

$$\begin{aligned}
[P, \Omega_1] &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \underbrace{\gamma_4\gamma_2\gamma_3}_{-\gamma_2\gamma_4\gamma_3} - \gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4 \right) = 0. \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{+\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4}
\end{aligned}$$

This  $\underline{\Lambda}$  anticommutes with  $P$ ,

$$\{P, \underline{\Lambda}\} = 0 \quad (2.33)$$

Thus the states in this representation can have a well defined parity. The counterparts of our later Dirac matrices are

$$\underline{\alpha} = i\gamma_4\underline{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{\sigma} \\ \underline{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.34)$$

$$\underline{\beta} = \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.35)$$

The  $\gamma_\mu$  matrices obey an Algebra.

